Eliminate the Export-Import Bank

Heritage Recommendation:
Allow the Export-Import Bank (Ex-Im) to expire when its current authorization lapses on June 30, 2015. This proposal saves $2 billion over 10 years under fair-value accounting that prevails in the private sector. CBO Director Douglas Elmendorf testified to the appropriateness of fair-value accounting when relaying the costs of the Export-Import Bank, stating, “In CBO’s view, therefore, fair-value estimates provide a more comprehensive measure of the costs of federal credit programs, and CBO has provided fair-value estimates for many programs to help lawmakers more fully understand the trade-offs between certain policies.”

Compared to the Congressional Budget Office’s (CBO’s) baseline, which per the 1990 Federal Credit Reform Act does not properly consider risk of default, this proposal would technically cost the federal government $14.4 billion over 10 years.

Rationale:
The Export-Import Bank provides discount financing to foreign firms and foreign governments for the purchase of American exports. The program primarily benefits very large corporations, but puts unsubsidized American firms at a competitive disadvantage and taxpayers at risk.

Ex-Im provides taxpayer-backed financing for just 2 percent of U.S. exports. The vast majority of benefits accrue to multinational firms that could easily access private financing. In FY 2013, for example, just 10 companies benefited from 75 percent of Ex-Im subsidies. Boeing is the biggest beneficiary, by far. Subsidies for air transport comprised more than 45 percent of all Ex-Im financings last year, including subsidies for the purchase of Boeing aircraft in China, Russia, the United Arab Emirates, and 22 other countries.

Ex-Im was capitalized with $1 billion in taxpayer dollars, and its financing is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, which means that taxpayers are on the hook for any losses that the bank fails to cover with reserves. The current cap on bank “exposure” is $140 billion, although Ex-Im has exceeded that limit. If required to follow the strict accounting methods of private lenders, Ex-Im would incur a deficit of $2 billion in the next decade, according to the CBO.

Those anticipated losses do not include the detrimental impact on American firms of subsidizing overseas competitors. The subsidies also distort the allocation of credit and labor. For example, job losses to domestic companies have been caused by export financing of coal mining in Colombia, copper excavation in Mexico, and airplanes for India. An additional concern is that Ex-Im subsidies benefit unfriendly nations, including China, Venezuela, and Russia.

There is no shortage of private financing available, and Ex-Im subsidies simply are not needed to maintain record levels of exports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAVINGS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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